

United States District Court
for
Middle District of Tennessee
Supplemental Petition for Warrant or Summons
for Offender Under Supervision
[Supersedes Petition Filed as Docket Entry No. 28]

Name of Offender: Corey Booker

Case Number: 3:06-00207-01

Name of Sentencing Judicial Officer: Honorable William J. Haynes, Jr., Chief U.S. District Judge

Date of Original Sentence: July 2, 2007

Original Offense: Cts. 1 & 2 - Felon in Possession of a Firearm, 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924.

Original Sentence: 77 months' imprisonment followed by 3 years' supervised release.

Type of Supervision: Supervised Release

Date Supervision Commenced: April 6, 2012

Assistant U.S. Attorney: S. Carran Daughtrey

Defense Attorney: Mariah Wooten

PETITIONING THE COURT

- ☐ To issue a Summons.
☐ To issue a Warrant.
☒ To Consider Additional Violation / Information.
-
-

THE COURT ORDERS:

- ☐ No Action
☐ The Issuance of a Warrant:
 ☐ Sealed Pending Warrant Execution
 (cc: U.S. Probation and U.S. Marshal only)
☐ The Issuance of a Summons.
☒ Other *consider additional violation*


relator 2908

Considered this 20th day of February, 2014, and made a part of the records in the above case.



Honorable William J. Haynes, Jr.
Chief U. S. District Judge

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Respectfully submitted,



Lisa A. Capps
Sr. U.S. Probation Officer

Place Columbia, TN

Date February 20, 2014

ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

The information provided in the previous petition, filed as docket entry No. 28, has been amended as follows:

Violation No. 3 has been added detailing the offender's positive drug screen on February 4, 2014.

The probation officer believes that the offender has violated the following condition(s) of supervision:

Violation No. Nature of Noncompliance

1. The defendant shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance:

On December 11, 2013, and December 19, 2013, Mr. Booker submitted urine screens at the probation office, which tested positive for marijuana. Mr. Booker denied any use.

2. The defendant shall participate in a drug testing program as directed by the probation officer:

On January 2, 2014, Mr. Booker failed to report for a random urine screen. This officer sent a text message to Mr. Booker on January 6, 2014, and directed him to report that day to submit a urine screen. Mr. Booker did so, and the urine screen tested negative for any illicit substances.

3. The defendant shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance:

On February 4, 2014, Mr. Booker submitted a urine screen at the probation office, which tested presumptively positive for marijuana. When questioned, Mr. Booker admitted he had smoked marijuana the day of his Godmother's funeral in mid-January.

Compliance with Supervision Conditions and Prior Interventions:

Corey Booker is a resident of Davidson County, Tennessee, and has been under the federal supervision of the U.S. Probation Office since April 6, 2012. Mr. Booker is employed with Macy's Logistics, Portland, Tennessee, and lives alone in Hermitage, Tennessee. The probation officer completes frequent surprise home visits at the offender's residence.

Mr. Booker was referred to Centerstone, Madison, Tennessee, in April 2012. An intake assessment was completed on May 1, 2012, and no substance abuse treatment was recommended at that time. He was placed in Phase 1 of the Code-a-Phone program for drug testing at the U.S. Probation Office in Nashville, Tennessee. Mr. Booker had been advised on May 31, 2013, prior to submitting the positive urine screen, that he was being removed from the Code-a-Phone program as he had no positive screens to that point. He was placed on random urine screens, which would require him to submit a urine screen when notified by the probation officer to do so. Later that same day, he submitted the positive urine screen. On July 31, 2013, Mr. Booker was referred to Centerstone for an intake assessment. The assessment was completed on August 7, 2013, and recommended Mr. Booker be placed in Phase 1 of the LIOP substance abuse counseling program beginning on August 28, 2013. His counselor recommended he be reduced to Phase 2 on October 25, 2013.

A 12A petition was submitted notifying the Court of Mr. Booker's positive urine screen and recommending no action at that time. Said petition was signed by Your Honor on June 17, 2013, ordering no action and that the violation be held in abeyance.

On July 16, 2013, a 12A petition was submitted notifying the Court of Mr. Booker's positive urine screen on June 27, 2013, and missed random urine screens on June 20, 2013, June 26, 2013, and July 2, 2013. Said petition was signed by Your Honor on July 18, 2013, ordering no action.

On August 6, 2013, another 12A petition was submitted notifying the Court of Mr. Booker's positive urine on July 30, 2013, and missed urine screen on July 16, 2013. Said petition was signed by Your Honor on August 7, 2013, ordering no action.

On August 26, 2013, a 12A petition was submitted notifying the Court of Mr. Booker's citation for Driving on Revoked License on July 22, 2013, and missed urine screens. The Driving on a Revoked License charge was dismissed on August 16, 2013.

On December 4, 2013, another 12A petition was submitted notifying the Court of Mr. Booker's failure to report for random urine screens. Said petition was signed by Your Honor on December 5, 2013, ordering no action.


On January 29, 2014, a 12C petition was submitted notifying the Court of Mr. Booker's positive urine screens and failures to report for urine screens. Said petition was signed by Your Honor on January 30, 2014, ordering the issuance of a Summons. Mr. Booker appeared for an Initial Appearance on the Summons on February 5, 2014. He was released pending a final revocation hearing.

Mr. Booker continues to be drug tested at the most intensive level. Since Mr. Booker began his term of supervised release on April 6, 2012, he has submitted 45 urine samples, of which six have been positive for marijuana, and one for cocaine.

U.S. Probation Officer Recommendation:

It is respectfully requested that the additional violations and/or information be considered at the revocation hearing which has been scheduled for February 28, 2014. The U.S. Attorney's Office is agreeable with this recommendation.

Approved: _____



Vidette Putman
Supervisory U.S. Probation Officer

SENTENCING RECOMMENDATION
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
UNITED STATES V. COREY BOOKER, CASE NO. 3:06-00207-01

GRADE OF VIOLATION: C
CRIMINAL HISTORY: VI

ORIGINAL OFFENSE DATE: POST APRIL 30, 2003 **PROTECT ACT PROVISIONS**


	<u>Statutory Provisions</u>	<u>Guideline Provisions</u>	<u>Recommended Sentence</u>
CUSTODY:	2 years (Class C felony) <i>18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3)</i>	8-14 months U.S.S.G. § 7B1.4(a)	none
SUPERVISED RELEASE:	3 years less any term of imprisonment <i>18 U.S.C. § 3583(h)</i>	3 years less any term of imprisonment U.S.S.G. § 5D1.2(a)(2)	none

Statutory Provisions: Revocation is mandatory if the Court finds the defendant possessed a controlled substance in violation of the condition set forth in subsection(d). 18 U.S.C. § 3583(g)(1). Revocation is also mandatory if the defendant refuses to comply with drug testing imposed as a condition of supervised release. 18 U.S.C. § 3583(g)(3). The Court shall consider whether the availability of appropriate substance abuse treatment programs, or an individual's current or past participation in such programs, warrants an exception in accordance with United States Sentencing Commission guidelines from the rule of section 3583(g) when considering any action against a defendant who fails a drug test. 18 U.S.C. § 3583(d).


When a term of supervised release is revoked and the defendant is required to serve a term of imprisonment, the Court may include a requirement that the defendant be placed on a term of supervised release after imprisonment. The length of such a term of supervised release shall not exceed the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the original term of supervised release, less any term of imprisonment that was imposed upon revocation of supervised release. 18 U.S.C. § 3583(h). Upon revocation of supervised release, the defendant faces imprisonment of up to two years, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3).

Guideline Policy Statements: The guidelines set out in Chapter 7 of the United States Sentencing Guideline Manual are advisory. The violations listed above are Grade C violations. Upon a finding of a Grade C violation, the Court may (A) revoke supervised release; or (B) extend the term of supervised release and/or modify the conditions of supervision. U.S.S.G. § 7B1.3(a)(2).

Respectfully Submitted,



Lisa A. Capps
Sr. U.S. Probation Officer



Approved: Vidette Putman
Supervising U.S. Probation Officer